1 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 8 9 JOSE R. LUNA, 10 Case No. 2:15-cv-01104-RCJ-NJK Plaintiff(s), 11 12 ORDER DENYING PROPOSED VS. DISCOVERY PLAN 13 STATE FARM MUTUAL AUTOMOBILE INSURANCE COMPANY, 14 (Docket No. 12) Defendant(s). 15 Pending before the Court is a proposed discovery plan (Docket No. 12) which, for the reasons set 16 17 forth below, is **DENIED** without prejudice. First, the plan must state the date the first defendant answered 18 or otherwise appeared. Local Rule 26-1(e)(1). The parties fail to do so. Second, Local Rule 26-1(e)(1) 19 establishes 180 days, measured from the date the first defendant answers or otherwise appears, as a presumptively reasonable time to complete discovery. Where more than 180 days of discovery are sought, 20 21 the proposed discovery plan must state on its face, "SPECIAL SCHEDULING REVIEW REQUESTED" 22 and provide an explanation why the parties believe additional time is required. Local Rule 26-1(d). In this 23 case, Defendant answered on June 11, 2015. Docket No. 5. However, the proposed discovery plan requests 24 a longer discovery period than 180 days without complying with Local Rule 26-1. 25 . . . 26 27 28

Case 2:15-cv-01104-RCJ-NJK Document 13 Filed 07/28/15 Page 2 of 2

Accordingly, the proposed discovery plan is hereby **DENIED** without prejudice. The parties shall submit a revised discovery plan no later than August 4, 2015, that complies with the Local Rules. IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: July 28, 2015 United States Magistrate Judge